

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/533,597	Applicant(s) CARRO, FERNANDO INCERTIS	
	Examiner Alicia M. Lewis	Art Unit 2164	

All Participants:

(1) Alicia M. Lewis.

(2) Sam Rimell.

Status of Application: Non-Final Rejection

(3) Jack Friedman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 September 2007

Time: 11 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

12, 15 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent 5,794,230


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


SAM RIMELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's representative that the Horadan reference teaches independent claim 12, but that claim 16 contains allowable subject matter. Applicant's representative agreed to an Examiner's Amendment to incorporate dependent claims 15 and 16 into independent claim 12, and to do the same for similar claims 22, 25, 26, 32, 35 and 36 to put the application in condition for allowance.